

**REMARKS**

In the most recent Office Action, independent claim 1, along with its dependent claims 2-7, has been rejected under 35 USC 103 as obvious over Alicea in view of Sette.

The prior art was discussed in the Examiner Interview of May 22, 2006. At the interview, the Examiner suggested the claimed invention be characterized as a "system" rather than as an "apparatus." Applicant has amended the claims in response to the suggestion.

At the Interview, the Examiner stated he shall perform a further search.

No additional fee is believed to be required for this amendment; however, the undersigned Xerox Corporation attorney authorizes the charging of any necessary fees, other than the issue fee, to Xerox Corporation Deposit Account No. 24-0025.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he is hereby requested to call the undersigned attorney at (585) 423-3811, Rochester, NY.

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert Hutter/

Robert Hutter  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 32,418  
Telephone (585) 423-3811

June 14, 2006  
RH/fsl  
Xerox Corporation  
Xerox Square 20A  
Rochester, New York 14644